



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ure is necessary, one should use some precaution to keep any large amount of Paris green from entering the clothing or accumulating anywhere on the skin.

As regards the danger of poisoning the water treated, it should be emphasized that only a minute quantity of Paris green is dusted over a very large surface, and of that compound only a very small fraction is water-soluble. We have never observed any effect of the poison on culicine larvæ or on any aquatic insect or animal, however delicate, other than the surface-feeding anopheline larvæ. In particular, we have never observed any indication of harm to top-feeding minnows or to any other natural enemy of larvæ. The danger to domestic animals through drinking treated water seems very remote. In order to meet any objections on the part of the owner of a breeding place, one might arrange to have the stock removed from the breeding place for a day or so, but the owner will hardly insist on this precaution when it is explained to him that the powder is the same as that commonly used against insects and that only a very small quantity of it is to be used on a large body of water.

In sum, the possible advantages of arsenic dust used against anopheline larvæ are its cheapness, portability, ease of distribution by means of the wind, and the possibility of using it over areas difficult of treatment by methods now in use. The chief disadvantage is that its use is limited to anopheline larvæ—ova and pupæ of all kinds, and culicine larvæ are apparently unaffected. It is believed, however, that this method will have a place in antimalarial work, especially in places not easily drained and so covered by vegetation or other obstacles as to render them inaccessible to natural enemies of larvæ, or to other methods of treatment.

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED NOV. 26, 1921.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Nov. 26, 1921, and corresponding week, 1920. (From the Weekly Health Index, Nov. 29, 1921, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Week ended Nov. 26, 1921.	Corresponding week, 1920.
Policies in force.....	47, 761, 374	45, 212, 203
Number of death claims.....	7, 188	7, 399
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate.....	7.8	8.5

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Nov. 26, 1921, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years. (From the Weekly Health Index, Nov. 29, 1921, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

City.	Estimated population July 1, 1921.	Week ended Nov. 26, 1921.		Average annual death rate per 1,000. ²	Deaths under 1 year.		Infant mortality rate, week ended Nov. 26, 1921. ³
		Total deaths.	Death rate. ¹		Week ended Nov. 26, 1921.	Previous year or years. ²	
Akron, Ohio.....	229,195	21	4.8	48.5	4	43	38
Albany, N. Y.....	115,071	30	13.6	C 10.5	2	C 0	45
Atlanta, Ga.....	207,473	60	15.1	C 13.9	5	C 9
Baltimore, Md.....	750,864	181	12.6	A 15.5	24	A 25	67
Birmingham, Ala.....	186,133	50	14.0	A 18.1	7	A 6
Boston, Mass.....	757,634	212	14.6	A 14.9	32	A 32	86
Bridgeport, Conn.....	149,967	28	9.7	A 14.7	2	A 6	25
Buffalo, N. Y.....	519,608	108	10.8	C 13.4	13	C 22	50
Cambridge, Mass.....	110,444	29	13.7	A 15.0	1	A 4	18
Chicago, Ill.....	2,780,655	540	10.1	A 12.7	73	A 105
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	403,418	98	12.7	C 11.4	8	C 6	33
Cleveland, Ohio.....	831,138	164	10.3	C 10.0	23	C 19	62
Columbus, Ohio.....	245,358	58	12.3	C 15.4	5	C 11	58
Dallas, Tex.....	165,282	38	12.0	A 12.6	6	A 4
Dayton, Ohio.....	158,119	32	10.6	C 8.8	4	C 3	66
Denver, Colo.....	263,152	67	13.3	A 14.6	11
Detroit, Mich.....	1,070,450	178	8.7	C 10.5	32	C 50	60
Fall River, Mass.....	120,668	27	11.7	C 14.3	5	C 8	75
Fort Worth, Tex.....	111,423	29	13.6	2
Grand Rapids, Mich.....	141,197	35	12.9	C 12.0	2	C 5	34
Houston, Tex.....	144,340	23	8.3	C 9.3	5	C 3
Indianapolis, Ind.....	325,632	97	15.5	C 10.7	11	C 11	35
Jersey City, N. J.....	302,788	67	11.5	C 9.7	10	C 12	69
Kansas City, Kans.....	103,884	26	13.1	C 13.8	1	C 7	24
Kansas City, Mo.....	336,157	102	15.8	C 14.6	11	C 11
Los Angeles, Calif.....	611,321	171	14.6	A 12.7	19	A 9	90
Louisville, Ky.....	236,083	68	15.0	C 14.4	5	C 10	58
Lowell, Mass.....	113,757	25	11.5	A 15.2	4	A 7	64
Memphis, Tenn.....	165,656	52	16.4	7
Milwaukee, Wis.....	468,386	113	12.6	A 10.8	19	A 21	92
Minneapolis, Minn.....	392,815	81	10.8	C 11.8	5	C 10	28
Nashville, Tenn.....	122,036	39	16.7	C 15.4	1	C 5
New Bedford, Mass.....	125,012	22	9.2	A 14.2	2	A 5	31
New Haven, Conn.....	167,007	35	10.9	C 11.8	3	C 3	36
New Orleans, La.....	394,657	105	13.9	A 19.7	9	A 18
New York, N. Y.....	5,751,867	1,184	10.7	C 10.7	153	C 154	62
Newark, N. J.....	424,885	67	8.2	C 11.9	16	C 22	71
Norfolk, Va.....	121,260	18	7.7	3	53
Oakland, Calif.....	226,472	59	13.6	A 12.1	5	A 4	63
Omaha, Nebr.....	197,066	43	11.4	3	35
Paterson, N. J.....	137,463	27	10.2	5	85
Philadelphia, Pa.....	1,866,212	398	11.1	A 14.5	36	A 70	43
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	602,452	171	14.8	C 15.8	36	C 28	128
Portland, Oreg.....	264,859	62	12.2	C 9.8	4	C 6	40
Providence, R. I.....	239,645	56	12.2	C 13.6	9	C 8	73
Richmond, Va.....	175,686	47	13.9	C 11.2	7	C 6	85
Rochester, N. Y.....	305,229	68	11.6	C 9.1	7	C 3	54
St. Louis, Mo.....	786,164	178	11.8	C 12.3	18	C 27
St. Paul, Minn.....	237,781	50	11.0	C 10.4	6	C 4	60
Salt Lake City, Utah.....	121,595	23	9.9	A 13.3	2	A 6	31
San Francisco, Calif.....	520,546	152	15.2	C 13.1	13	C 12	75
Seattle, Wash.....	327,227	55	8.8	A 8.6	2	A 6	17
Springfield, Mass.....	135,877	22	8.4	C 11.5	0	C 6	0
Syracuse, N. Y.....	177,265	48	14.1	C 10.8	7	C 2	84
Toledo, Ohio.....	253,696	44	9.0	A 13.5	4	A 7	40
Trenton, N. J.....	122,760	34	14.4	A 20.1	7	A 7	106
Washington, D. C.....	454,026	84	9.6	A 15.6	7	A 12	41
Wilmington, Del.....	113,408	24	11.0	C 15.9	2	C 6
Worcester, Mass.....	184,972	47	13.2	C 15.2	5	C 8	54
Yonkers, N. Y.....	103,324	12	6.1	A 11.5	2	A 4	45

¹ Annual rate per 1,000 population.

² "A" indicates data for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates data for the corresponding week of the year 1920.

³ Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1920. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

⁴ Data based on statistics of 1915, 1916, and 1917.